



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/849,457	05/04/2001	Chen Lai Cheng	JCLA6623	8348	
75	90 06/17/2003				
J.C. Patents, Inc.			EXAM	EXAMINER	
J C Venture Suite 250			DUONG, I	CHANH B	
Irvine, CA 920	518		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2822		
			DATE MAILED: 06/17/2003	}	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

A	0
---	---

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/849,457	CHENG ET AL.					
Offic Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Khanh Duong	2822					
Th MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Peri d for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status  1) Passonsive to communication(s) filed on 04 l	May 2001						
<u> </u>	1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 May 2001</u> .  2a)□ This action is FINAL. 2b)□ This action is non-final.						
, <u> </u>		procedution as to the morite is					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	election requirement.						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		aminer					
Applicant may not request that any objection to th							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		, ,					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re		Tovod by the Examiner.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
· <del>-</del> ·- ·-	s have been received						
<ul> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ul>							
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informa	rry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) I Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 09/849,457

Art Unit: 2822

## **DETAILED ACTION**

This Office Action is in response to the filing of the application on May 4, 2001.

Accordingly, claims 1-20 are pending in the application.

## Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species	Figures	Corresponding Claims
1	3A, 3B, 6A, 6B and 8	1-7
2	3A, 3B, 4, 5A, 5B and 6B	8-10
3	7	11-13
4	3A, 3B, 4, 5A, 5B, 6A, 6B, 8, 9A and 9B	14-16
5	8	17-19
6	9A and 9B	20

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims are not generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Application/Control Number: 09/849,457

Art Unit: 2822

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Khanh Duong whose telephone number is (703) 305-1784. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday (9:00 AM - 6:00 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amir Zarabian, can be reached on (703) 308-4905. The fax phone numbers

Application/Control Number: 09/849,457

Art Unit: 2822

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-3431 for regular communications and (703) 308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-

0956

**KBD** 

June 12, 2003

AMIR ZARABIAN SUPERVISORY PATENT FXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800